



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,158	11/04/2003	Seppo Pohja	NOKM.067PA	5523	
75	90 06/06/2006	EXAMINER			
Hollingsworth	& Funk, LLC	TRAN, TUAN A			
Suite 125 8009 34th Aven	ue South	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Minneapolis, MN 55425			2618		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/701,158		POHJA ET AL.					
		Examin r		Art Unit					
			Tuan A. Tra		2682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appo	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orresp ndence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE NOTES IN SIGN OF THE NOTES IN SIZE OF THE PROPERTY OF T	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS 66(a). In no event fill apply and will e cause the applica	COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be time xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 No</i>	ovember 200	3.					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
·	'-								
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		,	,					
· _	·								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
• -	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected.								
·									
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to resum	Clion and/or	election req	ulleriterit.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	` ')	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/701,158

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- Claims 1, 6-9, 13-15, 22-24, 26-31, 37-44 and 48--51 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Myers (2002/0101519).

Regarding claims 1, 6, 14-17 and 26-29, Myers discloses an apparatus and method (See fig. 1) for registering entities associated with a creation of content, comprising: creating a digital content at a mobile terminal 2, 5; obtaining identifiers corresponding to one or more entities 1a, 25a associated with the creation of the digital content, wherein obtaining identifiers comprises: transmitting at least one wireless signal from the terminal 2, 5 to the entities 1a, 25a within a wireless transmission range of the terminal (within a proximity of the mobile terminal 2, 5); and receiving a responsive wireless signals, including the identifiers, from the one or more entities 1a, 25a (entities 1a, 25a are transponders that are widely known in the art as well as defined by the Newton's Telecom Dictionary as devices that transmit responsive signals in response to received query signals) within the wireless transmission range of the mobile terminal 2, 5 (See figs. 1-3 and page 1 [0018, page 2 [0019]); and registering the identifiers of the one or more entities with the created digital content, wherein registering

the identifiers comprises storing the obtained identifiers and associating the stored identifiers with the created digital content by including the created digital content and the obtained identifiers in a common container (See fig. 3 and page 2 [0022]).

Claims 30-31, 36-38 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1, 6, 14-15 and 26-29.

Claims 40-44 and 47-51 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1, 6, 14-15 and 26-29, as apparatus.

Regarding claims 7-9 and 13, Myers discloses as cited in claims 1 and 6. Myers further discloses associating the identifiers with the created digital content comprises including a reference to the created digital content and to the obtained identifiers wherein the reference comprises a file name (See page 2 [0022]).

Regarding claims 22-24, Myers discloses as cited in claim 1. Myers further discloses obtaining identifiers comprises obtaining the identifiers in response to a trigger event, wherein the trigger event comprises the creation of the digital content or the occurrence of a time-related event (See page 1 [0018] and page 2 [0019]).

Regarding claim 39, Myers discloses as cited in claim 30. Myers further discloses creating digital content at the mobile terminal comprises creating a digital image (See page 2 [0019]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/701,158 Page 4

Art Unit: 2682

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 10-12, 25, 32 and 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers (2002/0101519).

Regarding claim 35, Myers discloses as cited in claim 30. However, Myers does not mention that the transmission/reception of the query and responsive signals utilized Bluetooth protocol. Since Myers does suggest the transmission/reception of the query and responsive signals utilized RF protocol (See page 1 [0018]) and Bluetooth is widely known as a short-range RF signal protocol; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the Bluetooth protocol for the advantage of expanding the capability of the system to various types of communication protocols.

Regarding claims 10-11 and 25, Myers discloses as cited in claims 6 and 22. However, Myers does not explicitly mention the steps of mapping the identifiers of interest to communication addresses of users corresponding to the one or more entities registered with the created digital content at the mobile terminal and transmitting the created digital content to communication addresses of the users corresponding to the one or more entities registered with the created digital content. Since Myers does teach the steps of mapping the identifiers to communication addresses of users corresponding to the one or more entities registered with the created digital content at a remote database (See fig. 5 and page 4 [0043]) and transmitting the created digital content to a local or wireless network (See page 2 [0025]); therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2682

one skilled in the art to have included the step of mapping the identifiers of interest to communication addresses in the mobile terminal as disclosed by Myers for the advantage of enhancing the capability of data processing (digital image with its associated data) of the mobile terminal as well as to transmit the created digital content to users corresponding to communication addresses for the advantage of allowing the users to share common interest digital content.

Claim 32 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 10-11.

Regarding claim 12, Myers discloses as cited in claim 11. However, Myers does not mention the step of transmitting the list of obtained identifiers to the addresses of the users corresponding to the one or more entities registered with the created digital content. Official Notice, taken by the Examiner, that the technique of sharing obtained contact list between devices is well known in the art; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the step of transmitting the obtained identifiers for the advantage of allowing users to identify common contacts as well as to establish communications between them.

 Claims 2-5, 33-34 and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers (2002/0101519) in view of Weston et al. (2002/0008622).

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Myers discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Myers does not mention the steps of filtering the obtained identifiers to designate the identifiers

Art Unit: 2682

of interest, comparing the obtained identifiers with the identifiers of interest to arrive a resulting list of identifiers, and registering the resulting list of identifiers with the created digital content. Weston teaches an apparatus and method for registering entities associated with a created digital content comprising the steps of filtering the obtained identifiers to designate the identifiers of interest UGIN, comparing the obtained identifiers with the identifiers of interest UGIN to arrive a resulting list of identifiers, and registering the resulting list of identifiers with the created digital content (See page 1 [0006], page 2 [0022], page 3 [0042-0043]). Since both Myers and Weston teach about system and method for associating digital content with obtained identifiers; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Weston in modifying the system and method as disclosed by Myers for the advantage of providing higher degree of flexibility and convenience to users in organizing and retrieving digital content associating with identifiers.

Claims 33-34 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 2 and 5.

Claim 45 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 2 and 5, as apparatus.

Regarding claims 3-4, Myers & Weston disclose as cited in claim 2. However, they do not explicitly mention the steps of mapping the identifiers of interest to communication addresses of users corresponding to the one or more entities registered with the created digital content at the mobile terminal and transmitting the created digital content to communication addresses of the users corresponding to the one or more entities registered with the created digital content. Since Myers does teach the steps of

Page 7

Art Unit: 2682

Application/Control Number: 10/701,158

mapping the identifiers to communication addresses of users corresponding to the one or more entities registered with the created digital content at a remote database (See fig. 5 and page 4 [0043]) and transmitting the created digital content to a local or wireless network (See page 2 [0025]); therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have included the step of mapping the identifiers of interest to communication addresses in the mobile terminal as disclosed by Myers & Weston for the advantage of enhancing the capability of data processing (digital image with its associated data) of the mobile terminal as well as to transmit the created digital content to users corresponding to communication addresses for the advantage of allowing the users to share common interest digital content.

Claim 46 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 3-4, as apparatus.

 Claims 18-20 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers (2002/0101519) in view of Ross et al. (2002/0132616).

Regarding claims 18-20, Myers discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Myers does not mention the step of: identify, via a network service, the entities within a geographic area to which the terminal is located; and providing a list of the entities of interest located within the geographic area from the network to the terminal. Ross teaches an apparatus and method for a communication system with automatic content downloads from a service provider an automatic content sharing capability between mobile devices (See fig. 1), comprising the steps of: identify, via a network service, the

Application/Control Number: 10/701,158 Page 8

Art Unit: 2682

entities within a geographic area to which the terminal is located; and providing a list of the entities of interest located within the geographic area from the network to the terminal (See page 2 [0021]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Ross in modifying the system and method as disclosed by Myers for the advantage of providing an alternative way to obtain identifiers of nearby devices to the mobile terminal.

Claim 52 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 18-20, as apparatus.

5. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Myers (2002/0101519) in view of Ross et al. (2002/0132616) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Weston et al. (2002/0008622).

Regarding claim 21, Myers & Ross disclose as cited in claim 20. However, they do not mention the steps of creating a list of entities of interest from the list of entities at the terminal and registering the list of entities of interest with the created digital content. Weston teaches an apparatus and method for registering entities associated with a created digital content comprising the steps of creating a list of entities of interest from the list of entities at the terminal and registering the list of entities of interest with the created digital content (See page 1 [0006], page 2 [0022], page 3 [0042-0043]). Since both Myers and Weston teach about system and method for associating digital content with obtained identifiers; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Weston in modifying

Application/Control Number: 10/701,158 Page 9

Art Unit: 2682

the mobile terminal and method as disclosed by Myers & Ross for the advantage of providing higher degree of flexibility and convenience to users in organizing and retrieving digital content associating with identifiers.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hoshino et al. (2003/0095032); Valleriano et al. (2004/0075752).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,158

Art Unit: 2682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Tran

Matthew D. Anderson SPE - 2618

m